

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
FLORENCE DIVISION

Terence Terell Bryan,) C.A. No. 4:04-1854-TLW-TER
Plaintiff,)
vs.) ORDER
John Doe, and South Carolina)
Department of Corrections,)
Defendants.)

)

This matter is now before the undersigned for review of the Report and Recommendation (“the Report”) filed by United States Magistrate Thomas E. Rogers, III, to whom this case had previously been assigned pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b) and Local Rule 73.02(B)(2) (D.S.C.). In his Report, Magistrate Judge Rogers recommends that plaintiff’s motion for injunctive relief (Doc. # 17) to be moved out of the SCDC into the federal system be denied because plaintiff has failed to show he is entitled to such relief as a matter of law. (Doc. # 48). The Report was filed on April 14, 2005. Plaintiff has filed no objections to the Report.

This Court is charged with conducting a de novo review of any portion of the Magistrate Judge’s Report to which a specific objection is registered, and may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendations contained in that report. 28 U.S.C. § 636. No objections have been filed to the Report. In the absence of objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983).

A review of the record indicates that the Report accurately summarizes this case and the applicable law. For the reasons articulated by the Magistrate Judge, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report is **ACCEPTED** (Doc. # 48), and plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief is **DENIED** (Doc. # 17).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Terry L. Wooten
TERRY L. WOOTEN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

May 12, 2005
Florence, South Carolina